KOZUSKO

HARRIS

DUNCAN

Washington, DC

New York, NY

New Haven, CT

Chicago, IL

April 20, 2012

VIA ELECTRONIC. MAIL

Mr. David A. Stawick Secretary Commodity Futures Trading Commission Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20581

Re: Regulation 4.5 Harmonization

Dear Mr. Stawick:

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC" or "Commission") has requested comment on its proposed harmonization provisions. Specifically, the Commission indicated that it "is considering adopting a family office exemption from CPO registration akin to the exemption adopted by the SEC."

We respectfully request that the CFTC adopt a single family office exemption under the definitions of Commodity Pool Operator ("CPO") and Commodity Trading Adviser ("CTA"). Further, under these two new family office exemptions, it should be clear that anyone qualifying under them would also be exempt from any notice filing, disclosure and recordkeeping requirements, including, without limitation, Rules 4.13(a)(5) and (6), 4.13(b), 4.13(c), 4.21, 4.23, 4.31 and 4.32 or any other such requirements.

With respect to the definition of family office, it should, at a minimum, be broad enough to accommodate the wide variety of structures currently employed by and clientele currently served by single family offices. Moreover, the definition of family office should include, but not be limited to, the scope and extent of prior CFTC interpretive relief provided with respect to family investment vehicles.

The adoption of a family office exemption under the definitions for CPO and CTA is consistent with the CFTC's own prior interpretive relief, as well as the Congressional mandate under Section 409 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act to alleviate family offices from the regulatory burdens of investment adviser registration. We believe the adoption of a single family office exemption under the CFTC's rules governing CPO and CTA registration will appropriately harmonize the treatment of single family offices across federal financial market regulatory schemes.

¹ 77 FR 11348 (February 24, 2012).

KOZUSKO HARRIS

DUNCAN

Finally, we support the analysis and conclusions of other commentators on this topic, including, without limitation, the following:

- (a) Letter to David Stawick, Secretary, CFTC, dated April 13, 2011, from Mark D. Young, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP; and
- (b) Letter to David Stawick, Secretary, CFTC, dated April 18, 2011 from Martin L. Lybecker, Perkins Coie LLP.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposal and look forward to working with the Commission throughout the rulemaking process.

Sincerely,

Miles C. Padgett